

REMARKS

Claim 1 calls for displaying a keyboard image on a user interface. It further calls for moving a data entry area on said interface to display said keyboard image.


Zellweger is cited as "teaching moving a data entry area on said user interface to display said keyboard image." However, all Zellweger teaches is moving text to allow text interlineations. It does not teach a data entry area and it does not teach a keyboard image. Further, it teaches nothing about moving a data entry area to accommodate a keyboard image. Finally, not only does it not teach these things, it provides no rationale from within the reference itself to modify Vale. Therefore, a *prima facie* rejection is lacking.

The office action asserts that the data entry area is the area 68 in Vale. However, if this is the case, (and it certainly appears to be very unclear that that area is any kind of data entry area), Vale would teach away from the claimed invention because Vale teaches providing a distinct area in which to provide for the entered data which is separate and distinct from the keyboard image 66. Therefore, the combination of the two references still teaches no reason to provide for movement of a data entry area on an interface to display a keyboard image. The general concept itself is nowhere suggested in either reference or their combination, even if they were combined.

Therefore, claim 1, its dependent claims and the other pending claims in the case, should be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 30, 2004



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]